Soft-gluon resummations and NNNLO expansions

Nikolaos Kidonakis (Kennesaw State University)

- Higher-order two-loop corrections
- NNLL soft-gluon resummation
- Comparison of resummation methods
- NNLO and NNNLO expansions
- Top-pair and single-top production
- W production at large p_T

Higher-order corrections

Soft-gluon corrections are important in many QCD processes production of top-antitop, single top, W, jets, direct photons, etc.

Soft terms: $\left[\frac{\ln^k(s_4/M^2)}{s_4}\right]_+$ with $k \le 2n - 1$, s_4 distance from threshold Resum these soft corrections - factorization and RGE Complete results at NNLL-two-loop soft anomalous dimensions Approximate NNLO cross section from expansion of resummed cross section Calculation is for partonic threshold at the double differential cross section level using the standard moment-space resummation in pQCD Recent results for: top-pair production, N. Kidonakis, 1304.7775 [hep-ph]

single-top production, N. Kidonakis, 1306.3592 [hep-ph]

W-production, N. Kidonakis and R.J. Gonsalves, Phys. Rev. D 87, 014001 (2013)

Factorization and Resummation

Resummation follows from factorization properties of the cross section - performed in moment space

 $\sigma = (\prod \psi) H_{IL} S_{LI} (\prod J) \qquad H: \text{ hard function} \qquad S: \text{ soft-gluon function} \\ \text{Use RGE to evolve soft-gluon function} \qquad S: \text{ soft-gluon function}$

$$\left(\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} + \beta(g_s)\frac{\partial}{\partial g_s}\right)S_{LI} = -(\Gamma_S^{\dagger})_{LB}S_{BI} - S_{LA}(\Gamma_S)_{AI}$$

 Γ_S is the soft anomalous dimension - a matrix in color space and a function of kinematical invariants s, t, u

Resummed cross section

$$\hat{\sigma}^{res}(N) = \exp\left[\sum_{i} E_{i}(N_{i})\right] \exp\left[\sum_{j} E_{j}'(N')\right] \exp\left[\sum_{i=1,2} 2 \int_{\mu_{F}}^{\sqrt{s}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \gamma_{i/i} \left(\tilde{N}_{i}, \alpha_{s}(\mu)\right)\right] \\ \times \operatorname{tr}\left\{H\left(\alpha_{s}\right) \exp\left[\int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\sqrt{s}/\tilde{N}'} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \Gamma_{S}^{\dagger}\left(\alpha_{s}(\mu)\right)\right] S\left(\alpha_{s}\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\tilde{N}'}\right)\right) \exp\left[\int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\sqrt{s}/\tilde{N}'} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \Gamma_{S}\left(\alpha_{s}(\mu)\right)\right]\right\}$$

determine Γ_S from ultraviolet poles in dimensionally regularized eikonal diagrams Γ_S is process-dependent; calculated at two loops We are resumming $\ln^k N$ - we can expand to fixed order and invert to get $\ln^k (s_4/m_t^2)/s_4$

Threshold approximation

Approximation works very well for LHC and Tevatron energies



excellent approximation: $\sim 1\%$ difference between NLO approximate and exact cross sections; and also for differential distributions; also true at NNLO for total cross sections

For best prediction for differential distributions add NNLO approximate corrections to exact NLO result

Differences between various resummation/NNLO approx approaches

Total vs differential cross section moment-space pQCD vs SCET

Name	Observable	Soft limit
single-particle-inclusive $(1PI)$	$d\sigma/dp_T dy$	$s_4 = s + t_1 + u_1 \to 0$
pair-invariant-mass (PIM)	$d\sigma/dM_{t\bar{t}}d\theta$	$(1-z) = 1 - M_{t\bar{t}}^2 / s \to 0$
production threshold	σ	$\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4m_t^2/s} \to 0$

The more general approach is double-differential $\rightarrow p_T$ and rapidity distributions

total-only approaches are limit/special case (absolute vs partonic threshold)

For differential calculations, further differences arise from how the relation $s + t_1 + u_1 = 0$ is used in the plus-distribution coefficients, how subleading terms are treated, damping factors, etc.

see N. Kidonakis and B.D. Pecjak, Eur. Phys. J C 72, 2084 (2012) for details and review





Kidonakis, PRD 82, 114030 (2010) differential-pQCD Aliev et al, CPC 182, 1034 (2011) total-pQCD Ahrens et al, PLB 703, 135 (2011) differential -SCET Beneke et al, NPB 855, 695 (2012) total-SCET Cacciari et al, PLB 710, 612 (2012) total-pQCD



N. Kidonakis, EPS-HEP 2013, Stockholm, Sweden, July 2013

The result from my formalism is very close to the exact NNLO: both the central values and the scale uncertainty are nearly the same true for all collider energies and top quark masses

This was expected from comparison to NLO, and analytical/numerical study of NNLO corrections in different kinematics

(PRD 68, N. Kidonakis & R. Vogt; see also discussion in PRD78 and PRD82)

 ${\sim}1\%$ difference between approximate and exact cross sections at both NLO and NNLO

working on approximate NNNLO (see N. Kidonakis PRD 73,034001 (2006) for early NNNLO results for top-pair; small NNNLO corrections for $q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$; also past work NNNLO for single-top at NLL)

stability of the theoretical NNLO approx result over the past decade

the reliability of the NNLO approximate result and near-identical value to exact NNLO is very important for several reasons

- provides confidence of application to other processes (single-top, W, etc)
- results used as background for many analyses (Higgs, etc)
- means that we have near-exact NNLO p_T and rapidity distributions

N. Kidonakis, EPS-HEP 2013, Stockholm, Sweden, July 2013

Normalized top quark p_T distribution at the LHC



Excellent agreement with CMS data at 7 TeV; also at 8 TeV

Normalized top quark rapidity distribution at LHC



Excellent agreement with CMS data at 7 TeV; also at 8 TeV

t-channel single-top production at the LHC

t-channel total cross section $m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV}$



N. Kidonakis, EPS-HEP 2013, Stockholm, Sweden, July 2013

W production at large p_T at the LHC - 7 TeV



N. Kidonakis and R.J. Gonsalves, Phys. Rev. D 87, 014001 (2013)

W production at large p_T at the LHC - 8 TeV



N. Kidonakis and R.J. Gonsalves, Phys. Rev. D 87, 014001 (2013)

Summary

- Soft-gluon resummations at NNLL
- NNLO and NNNLO expansions
- applications to top-quark and W-boson production
- NNLO approx corrections are significant at the LHC and the Tevatron
- excellent agreement with collider data