

The Case of τ Final States in Higgs Physics (at the LHC)

Roger Wolf 16. June 2015

INSTITUTE OF EXPERIMENTAL PARTICLE PHYSICS (IEKP) – PHYSICS FACULTY



KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association

www.kit.edu

Road map...

- Front page: Higgs why & what?
- The **discovery** and role of the di- τ final state.
- Search for LFV in the Higgs sector.
- Di- τ final states and **CP** measurements.
- Search for additional Higgs bosons in extensions of the SM.



Disclaimer:

- This is a personal choice of topics, which are strongly τ -lepton related.
- When discussing results and measurements I will mostly stick to CMS.
- Since all results are well known in the meantime I will stick to the principles/physics part more than the technical details of the analyses.

Higgs: why & what?



• Question: how can the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry be the source of electroweak interactions and at the same time elementary particle masses $\neq 0$, which explicitly break this symmetry.

Higgs: why & what?



- Question: how can the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry be the source of electroweak interactions and at the same time elementary particle masses $\neq 0$, which explicitly break this symmetry.
- Answer : Higgs-mechanism

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{Higgs}} = \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi)$ $V(\phi) = -\mu^{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{2}$ $V(\phi)$ $V(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$

- Symmetry inherent to a system but not to its energy ground state (→ quantum vacuum).
- In a quantum field theory (QFT) this can lead to the existence of new physical particles (→ Higgs boson(s)).

Non-zero vacuum expectation value v

Higgs: why & what?



- Question: how can the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry be the source of electroweak interactions and at the same time elementary particle masses $\neq 0$, which explicitly break this symmetry.
- Answer : Higgs-mechanism

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{Higgs}} = \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\dagger} \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi)$ $V(\phi) = -\mu^{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \lambda \left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{2}$ $V(\phi)$ $V(\phi)$ $V(\phi)$ $V(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$ $W(\phi)$

- Symmetry inherent to a system but not to its energy ground state (→ quantum vacuum).
- In a quantum field theory (QFT) this can lead to the existence of new physical particles (→ Higgs boson(s)).

- Non-zero vacuum expectation value v

• A Higgs boson has very a peculiar coupling structure, needed to preserve the symmetry of the system:

 $f_{H \to ff} = i \frac{m_f}{v}$ (trilinear coupling to fermions) $f_{H \to VV} = i \frac{2m_V^2}{v}$ (trilinear coupling to vector bosons)















- We know it exists (arXiv:1207.7235)!
- We know its a boson.
- We know its mass: $m_H = 125.09 \pm 0.21 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 \,(\text{syst.}) \text{GeV}$
- We have reasons to believe that it is a *CP*-even spin-0 object.





- We know it exists (arXiv:1207.7235)!
- We know its a boson.
- We know its mass: $m_{H} = 125.09 \pm 0.21 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 \,(\text{syst.}) \text{GeV}$
- We have reasons to believe that it is a *CP*-even spin-0 object.
- We have strong evidence that it couples to fermions.



20

15

10

5

n

 $-2 \Delta \ln L$



4th of July 2012

- We know it exists (arXiv:1207.7235)!
- We know its a boson.
- We know its mass: $m_H = 125.09 \pm 0.21 \,(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.11 \,(\text{syst.}) \text{GeV}$
- We have reasons to believe that it is a CP-even spin-0 object.
- We have strong evidence that it couples to fermions.
- We know it's a Higgs boson!

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{H \to ff}^{\text{obs}}| &= \kappa_f \cdot |f_{H \to ff}^{\text{SM}}| = \kappa_f \cdot \frac{m_f}{v} \qquad f = \mu, \tau, b, t \\ \sqrt{\frac{|f_{H \to VV}^{\text{obs}}|}{2v}} &= \sqrt{\kappa_V} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{|f_{H \to VV}^{\text{SM}}|}{2v}} = \sqrt{\kappa_V} \cdot \frac{m_V}{v} \qquad V = W, Z \end{aligned}$$



Higgs: the role of τ -leptons

- Most convincing part of evidence for Higgs boson like coupling to fermions comes from $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$.
- $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ is a crucial part of our current understanding of the Higgs sector.



Channel	Resolution	S/B
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	1-2%	$\mathcal{O}(0.1)$
$H \to ZZ$	1-2%	$\mathcal{O}(>1)$
$H \to WW$	20%	$\mathcal{O}(1)$
$H \to b\overline{b}$	10%	$\mathcal{O}(0.1)$
$H \to \tau \tau$	15%	$\mathcal{O}(0.1)$





• Event yields from pure $\sigma \times BR$ (i.e. before any reconstruction & selection):

Decay	$\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}, 20 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	$\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$					
Channel	inclusive	inclusive	$gg \to H$	$qq \to H$	WH	ZH	$t\bar{t}H$
$\gamma\gamma$	1000	33000	30000	2300	1000	700	300
ZZ	50	1500	1300	100	50	30	15
WW	5000	150000	130000	10000	4500	3000	1500
$b\overline{b}$	12000 (*)	400000	350000	30000	12000	10000	40000
au au	30000	1000000	900000	70000	30000	20000	10000
$\mu\mu$	100	3000	2500	200	90	60	30

- Typical environment:
 - $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ subject to fierce environment.
 - Much cleaner selection in $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$.
 - Backgrounds easier to control.





Search for Higgs bosons in the di- τ final state





Reconstruction of hadronic τ **-leptons**





- Exploit particle flow algorithm: distinguish between γ , neutral and charged hadron.
 - Isolation (based on energy deposits in vicinity of reconstructed τ_h candidate).
 - Discrimination against electrons (based on shower shape & E/p).
 - Discrimination against muons.
 - Allows for independent cross check of τ_h energy calibration (use 3% uncert.).
 - Efficiency $\approx 60\%$ ($\approx 3\%$ fakerate), flat as function of $p_T(\tau_h)$ and N(vtx).



• Likelihood approach:

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{\hat{\theta}_2}} \mathbf{\hat{\theta}_1}$



• ME for leptonic τ decay or phase space kinematics of 2-body decay of τ_h .

Х

- Estimate of expected E_T resolution on event by event basis.
- Inputs: visible decay products, x-, y- component of \mathcal{E}_T .
- Free parameters: φ , θ^* , ($m_{\nu\nu}$) per τ .



• Find minimum of \mathcal{L} for given $m_{\tau\tau}$ and scan over all possible values of $m_{\tau\tau}$ to find global minimum.

Control of backgrounds





Further event categorization





Further event categorization



		0-jet	1-jet		2-jet		
				p _T ^π > 100 GeV	m _{jj} > 500 GeV Δη _{jj} > 3.5	$p_T^{ au} > 100 \; GeV$ $m_{jj} > 700 \; GeV$ $ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 4.0$	
	$p_T^{\tau h} > 45 \text{ GeV}$	$high-p_{T}{}^{\tau h}$	high- p_T^{Th}	high-p _T ™ boosted	loose	tight VBE tag	
$\mu \tau_h$	baseline	$\text{low-}p_{T}^{\text{th}}$	low	-p _T ^{τh}	VBF tag	(2012 only)	
			1 1 1 1 1				
	$p_T^{Th} > 45 \text{ GeV}$	high-p _T ^{τh}	-high-p ₁ ^{τh} -	high-p _T ^{τh} boosted	loose	tight VBE tag	
eτ _h	baseline	low-p _T ^{τh}	low-p _T th		VBF tag	(2012 only)	
			$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ > 30 GeV				
	p _T ^µ > 35 GeV	high-p _T μ	high-p _T ^µ		loose	tight VBF tag (2012 only)	
eµ	baseline	$\text{low-}p_{\text{T}}^{\mu}$	$\text{low-p}_{\text{T}}^{\mu}$		VBF tag		
	_p _T ^I > 35 GeV	high-p _T I	high-p _T I		2-jet		
ee, µµ	baseline	low-p _T ^I	low-p _T I				
T _h T _h (8 TeV only)	T _h T _h TeV only)		boosted	highly boosted	VBF	= tag	
			p _T ^π > 100 GeV	p _T ^π > 170 GeV	p _T ^π > 100 GeV m _{jj} > 500 GeV Δη _{jj} > 3.5		

- ~80 exclusive event categories.
- 6 inclusive decay channels.
- Exclusive decay channels for production in association with *W*, *Z* bosons.
- On 7 TeV and 8 TeV dataset.
- $\mathcal{O}(700)$ nuisance parameters in ML fit for signal extraction.

Distribution of $m_{\tau\tau}$ (arXiv:1401.5041)





3σ Evidence of Higgs coupling to fermions





$H \rightarrow \mu \tau \text{ LFV Higgs couplings (arXiv:1502.07400)}$

S/(S+B) Weighted Events / 20 GeV

- SM forbids LFV couplings at tree level.
- Three couplings are possible: $\tau \to e$, $\tau \to \mu$, $\ \mu \to e$.
- LVF could take place in Higgs sector. Limits in literature:
 - $BR(H \rightarrow e\mu) = \mathcal{O}(10^{-8})$.
 - $BR(H \to e\tau) = \mathcal{O}(0.1)$.
 - $BR(H \to \mu \tau) = \mathcal{O}(0.1)$.

 $H \rightarrow \mu \tau_h / \mu \tau_e$ analysis w/ two specialties:

- $p_T(\mu)$ is harder (\rightarrow less $\nu's$ in the decay).
- $\nu's$ are more collinear. Use of collinear approximation for $m_{ au au}$.



$H \to \mu \tau \; {\rm LFV}$ Higgs search results





Institute of Experimental Particle Physics (IEKP)

Higgs: CP properties (from $H \rightarrow ZZ$ **)**

- Up to now CP could seriously only be studied from angular analyses in $H \rightarrow ZZ$ decays.
- From this we know: Higgs seems to be spin-0, *CP*-even.

- Both in the SM as well as in any extension that is being discussed at the moment a CP-odd Higgs boson (component) would not couple to vector bosons at tree level (→only know the expected)!
- In H → ff
 a CP-odd coupling of the Higgs boson can easily be incorporated at tree level:







BUT

Higgs: CP properties (from $H \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ **)**



• Obtain *P* from an angular momentum analysis of the QM system:

Orbital momentum: $P(Y_l^m(\theta,\varphi)) = (-1)^l \cdot Y_l^m(\theta,\varphi)$ $\times \quad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Intrinsic parity of fermions:} \\ P(f) = (+1) \cdot f \qquad P(\bar{f}) = (-1) \cdot f \end{bmatrix}$

• Obtain *C* from $P \times (\pm 1)$ for permutations of objects (\rightarrow spin statistics):

$$\begin{array}{l} |1,\pm1\rangle = & |1/2,\pm1/2\rangle \otimes |1/2,\pm1/2\rangle \\ |1, \ 0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left(|1/2,+1/2\rangle \otimes |1/2,-1/2\rangle + \left(|1/2,-1/2\rangle \otimes |1/2,+1/2\rangle \right) \right) \end{array}$$
(+1) under permutations.

$$|0, \ 0\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left(|1/2,+1/2\rangle \otimes |1/2,-1/2\rangle - \left(|1/2,-1/2\rangle \otimes |1/2,+1/2\rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$
(-1) under permutations.

• For two fermion system:

$$P = (-1)^{L+1}$$

$$C = (-1)^{L+S}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$

Higgs: CP properties (from $H \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ **)**





• For two fermion system:

$$P = (-1)^{L+1}$$

$$C = (-1)^{L+S}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$

$$CP = (-1)^{S+1}$$



Transverse spin polarization in the di-au system





Higgs boson in the MSSM

• A *CP*-odd Higgs boson is indeed predicted in Two Higgs Doublet models (2HDM) like the MSSM:

$$H_d = \begin{pmatrix} H_d^0 \\ H_d^- \end{pmatrix}$$
, $Y_{H_d} = -1$, \mathbf{v}_d : VEV_d

$$H_u = \begin{pmatrix} H_u^+ \\ H_u^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{H_u} = +1, \quad \mathbf{v}_u : \, \mathbf{VEV}_u$$

$$N_{\rm ndof} = 8$$
 $-3 = 5$
 $W, Z = H^{+/-}, H, h, A$

Strong mass requirements at tree level:

Two free parameters: m_A , $\tan\beta = v_u/v_d$

$$\begin{split} m_{H^{+/-}}^2 &= m_A^2 + m_W^2 \\ m_{H,h}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{\left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2\right)^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 + m_Z^2 \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left(m_A^2 + m_Z^2 + m$$





SUSY particles as *dark matter* candidates



Extension of SM by a last remaining, non-trivial, symmetry operation (boson \leftrightarrow • fermion), SUSY, can cure many shortcomings of SM:



Standard particles

- E.g. lightest SUSY particle (LSP) perfect candidate for *dark matter* particle χ .
- **Problem:** SUSY itself is broken! ullet



• In the MSSM coupling to down-type fermions enhanced by $\tan\beta$ for $m_A \gg m_Z$ at LO (decoupling limit):



expect MSSM here!

Simple check for CP-odd coupling in $H \to \tau \tau$



- Check for $gg \rightarrow H$ as only signal.
- Remove VBF sensitive categories from SM analysis.



• Scan for additional CP-odd Higgs boson between 90 GeV and 145 GeV.

Dedicated MSSM analysis (arXiv:1408.3316)



• Exploit enhancement of coupling to down-type fermions for initial state ($\rightarrow b$ -quarks).



Sensitive to both production modes!



Model independent limits (2D)



• Search for a narrow resonance in $gg\phi$ & $bb\phi$ production mode:



95% CL 68% CL Best fit Expected for 95% CL 68% CL Best fit Expected for Best fit
 Expected for Expected 40 60 σ(ggφ)·B(φ→ττ) [pb] 20 30 4 σ(ggφ)·B(φ→ττ) [pb] 2 σ(ggφ)-B(φ→ττ) [pb 0.6 0.8 1 σ(ggφ)·B(φ→ττ) [pb 95% CL 95% CL 95% CL 68% CL -3% = 68% CL = Best fit SM H(12* Best fit
 Expected + Best fit Best fit 0.4 0.6 0. σ(000)-B(φ→ττ) [pb] 0.10 0.15 0.3 σ(ggφ)·B(φ→ττ) [pb] 0.04 σ(ggφ)-B(φ→ττ) [pb] 0.010 0.01 σ(ggφ)·B(φ→ττ) [pb] ... (for 31 mass points btw. 90 and 1000 GeV, $\rightarrow 1.25 \times 10^6$ scan points).

- Most probable value and 2D limit contour from scan of likelihood function (200×200 NLL points).
- Find DB of full likelihood scan in 3D ($gg\phi$, $bb\phi$, m_{ϕ}) on supporting TWiki for arXiv:1408.3316.

Model independent limits (1D)



• Search for a narrow resonance in $gg\phi$ & $bb\phi$ production mode:



Limits in full MSSM benchmark scenarios





• Old method: h(125) ignored in statistical inference:



- Note: h(125) has been observed!
- With increasing sensitivity new statistical interpretation is needed: "1 Higgs vs 3 Higgses".

 $q_{\text{MSSM/BG}} = \frac{\mathcal{L}(N|(S_{\text{MSSM}}+B), \hat{\theta}_{MSSM})}{\mathcal{L}(N|B, \hat{\theta}_B)}$

Limits in full MSSM benchmark scenarios





• New method: h(125) taken into account in test statistic:



- Note: h(125) has been observed!
- With increasing sensitivity new statistical interpretation is needed: "1 Higgs vs 3 Higgses".



Charged Higgs boson search ($H^{+/-} ightarrow au u$)

- Most sensitive decay channel (cf neutral Higgs searches).
- Concentrate on hadronic decay of $W \rightarrow$ well defined use of m_T for sig extraction.
- Extending mass range of search by $180 \text{ GeV} \le m_{H^{+/-}} \le 600 \text{ GeV}.$





Charged Higgs boson search ($H^{+/-} \rightarrow \tau \nu$)



09 [⊕]

50

40

30

(MSSM,SM)<0.05 Observed

± 1σ Expected

± 2σ Expected

Expected

19.7 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV) + 4.9 fb⁻¹ (7 TeV)

- Translated into m_A $\tan\beta$ plane.
- Combining both measurement will close the plane in the range $90 \le m_A \le 140$ GeV.



Charged Higgs boson search ($H^{+/-} \rightarrow \tau \nu$)





Conclusions



- Di- τ final states are rich and important in the Higgs sector.
- Importance originates from combination of high mass and relatively clean signature.
- Implies:
 - Large coupling to Higgs boson.
 - Decays into hadrons that can be used to make spin correlations and thus the *CP* measurable in 2-fermion final states.
 - Even enhanced couplings in large number of BSM models.
- This makes di- τ final states in Higgs physics (especially for LHC run-2) a very attractive area of research.



Why it is not THE Higgs boson (of the SM)⁽¹⁾

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

- Gravity is not included in the SM.
- The SM suffers from the hierarchy problem.
- Dark matter is not included in the SM.
- Neutrino masses are not included in the SM.
- There are known deviations in $a_{\mu} \equiv \frac{g_{\mu}-2}{2}$ from the SM expectation (3.6 σ unresolved).

⁽¹⁾ Arguments stolen from S. Heinemeyer (HH Higgs workshop 2014)

Why it is not THE Higgs boson (of the SM)⁽¹⁾



- Gravity is not included in the SM.
- The SM suffers from the hierarchy problem.
- Dark matter is not included in the SM.
- Neutrino masses are not included in the SM.
- There are known deviations in $a_{\mu} \equiv \frac{g_{\mu}-2}{2}$ from the SM expectation (3.6 σ unresolved).



- There must be physics beyond the SM!
- At what scale does it set in?
- (How) Does it influence the Higgs sector?

⁽¹⁾ Arguments stolen from S. Heinemeyer (HH Higgs workshop 2014)

Space left for new physics in the Higgs sector



- Couplings are determined within $\pm 15\%$ to $\pm 20\%$ accuracy.
- Fixing all tree-level couplings to the SM (κ_i, i = W, Z, τ, b, t) & introducing effective couplings for loop induced processes (κ_g, κ_γ) leaves room for BR_{BSM} ≤ 0.32 @ 95% CL.
- Adding maximal freedom to the fit leaves room for $BR_{BSM} \le 0.58$ @ 95% CL.



MSSM model dependency



- In the SM analysis we chose nearly 100 different event categories. Why not choose more categories in MSSM analysis?
- In $gg\phi p_T$ spectra of Higgs bosons change with other particles in loop:



- Checked with pure *b* and pure *t* in loop from pythia that current categorization is not sensitive.
- Refrained from categorization that depends on Higgs p_T .

		0-jet	1-jet		2-jet		
				p _T ^π > 100 GeV	m _{jj} > 500 GeV Δη _{jj} > 3.5	p _t ^π > 100 GeV m _{jj} > 700 GeV Δη _{jj} > 4.0	
	$p_{\tau^{th}} > 45 \text{ GeV}$	high-p _T th	$high-p_T^{Th}$	high-p _T ^{πh} boosted	loose	tight	
$\mu \tau_h$	baseline	$\text{low-}p_{T}^{\text{th}}$	low-	·PT ^{πh}	VBF tag	(2012 only)	
	$p_T^{Th} > 45 \text{ GeV}$	high-p _T th	-high-p _τ th-	high-p _T ^{τh} boosted	loose	tight VBF tag (2012 only)	
eτ _h	baseline	$\text{low-}p_{T}^{\text{th}}$	low-	-ρ _T ^{τh}	VBF tag		
			$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ > 30 GeV				
	р _т ^µ > 35 GeV	high-p _T µ	high-p _τ μ		loose	tight VBF tag (2012 only)	
eμ	baseline	$low-p_T^\mu$	$\text{low-p}_{\text{T}}^{\mu}$		VBF tag		
	р _т ^I > 35 GeV	high-p _T I	high-p _T I		2-jet		
ee, µµ	baseline	low-p _T I	low-p _T I				
T _h T _h (8 TeV only)	nT _h ∋V only) baseline		boosted	highly boosted	VBF	⁼ tag	
			p _T ^π > 100 GeV	p _T ^π > 170 GeV	p _T ^{ττ} > 100 GeV m _{jj} > 500 GeV Δη _{jj} > 3.5		

Model independent limits (2D)





Model independent limits (2D)





More benchmark scenarios (as defined by arXiv:1302.7033)



Institute of Experimental Particle Physics (IEKP)

More benchmark scenarios... (old method)







• Expect signal in *top* sector:



Charged Higgs boson search ($H^{+/-} \rightarrow \tau \nu$)



• Translated into m_{H^+} - $\tan\beta$ plane.



Charged Higgs boson search ($H^{+/-} \rightarrow tb$)



• Start off from regular $t\bar{t}$ analysis in the $\mu\tau_h$ and the $\ell\ell'$ channel ($\ell, \ell' = e, \mu$):



Performance of hadronic τ reconstruction



- Control efficiency within $\pm 7\%$ using tag & probe methods:
- Control τ_h energy scale within $\pm 3\%$ from fits to $m_{\tau, \mathrm{vis}}$:

events/10 GeV/c² Data $Z/\gamma \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-}$ 60 OCD W + jets $Z/\gamma \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ tt + jets 40 passed HPS loose 20 50 100 150 200 μ-jet visible mass (GeV/c²) events/15 GeV/c² Data $Z/\gamma \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-}$ QCD W + jets $\mathbf{Z}/\gamma \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ 🔲 tī + jets failed HPS loose 50 50 100 150 200 μ -jet visible mass (GeV/c²)



• Uncertainties further constrained by maximum likelihood fit in the statistical inference for signal extraction.

Performance of hadronic τ reconstruction



• Efficiency $\approx 60\%$ ($\approx 3\%$ fake rate), flat for $p_T(\tau) > 30$ GeV & independent from PU.

