

# **KSETA-Course: Accelelerator-Based Particle Physics**

### **QCD and Jet Physics**



KIT – Universität des Landes Baden-Württemberg und nationales Forschungszentrum in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

#### www.kit.edu

# **QCD** Reminder



- Force between color-charged particles
   ⇒ 6 quarks (with colors), 6 anti-quarks (with anti-colors)
- Coupling constant α<sub>s</sub>
- Described in field theory by SU(3) group
   ⇒ force carried by 8 gluons, each with one color + one anti-color
   ⇒ non-abelian → gluon self-interactions



# **Strong Coupling**

- Vacuum polarization effects:
   ⇒ couplings depend on energy
- EM: screening
   ⇒ coupling stronger at higher
   energies
- QCD: anti-screening
  - ⇒ coupling weaker at higher energies
- Consequences: ⇒ confinement
  - $\Rightarrow$  asymptotic freedom



# **QCD Reminder: Phenomenology**

- Confinement: strong coupling increasing at low energies, large distances
   ⇒ QCD potential rising infinitely
   ⇒ no free color-charged particles observable, only hadrons
- Asymptotic freedom: coupling shrinking at high energy
   ⇒ α<sub>s</sub> small enough for perturbation theory
   ⇒ collider strong physics framed as quark + gluon physics





# **Reminder: QCD-Factorisation**



cross section = PDF  $\otimes$  hard process  $\otimes$  hadronisation



# **Proton Structure**



- Probe proton structure with scattering experiments
- Inspiration: Rutherford Scattering



 $\Rightarrow$  charge distribution within proton

- Add additional degree of freedom: inelastic scattering
   → scattering angle
  - $\rightarrow$  energy loss

### **Deep Inelastic Scattering**

- Kinematic variables: four-momentum transfer:  $Q^2 = -q^2 = (k - k')^2$ inelasticity:  $y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k} = \frac{E - E'}{E}$ "scaling variable"  $x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$ mass of scattered system:  $W = (P + q)^2$
- $k \xrightarrow{k'} W$

- Processes described by just two variables  $Q^2 = xys$  (s = center-of-mass energy)
- Kinematics determined by electron kinematics alone
- "Deep Inelastic" if  $W \gg M$



# **Structure Functions**





with  $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ ,  $F_L$  intrinsic properties of the proton

• Interpret proton in the quark model  $\Rightarrow$  functions get meaning **x**P: momentum carried by struck quark  $F_2(x, Q^2) = x \sum_q e_q^2(q(x, Q^2) + \bar{q}(x, Q^2))$   $xF_3(x, Q^2) = x \sum_q e_q^2(q(x, Q^2) - \bar{q}(x, Q^2))$  $F_L(x, Q^2) = 0$  (in leading order)

## **Deep Inelastic Scattering**





ω=1/x

# **Bjorken Scaling**

- Naive assumption: pointlike constituents: F<sub>2</sub>(x,Q<sup>2</sup>) -> F<sub>2</sub> (x)
- 1969:
   SLAC+MIT
   experiments
- Quarks are real!
- Iooks like scaling

10-4

Ó

2

q<sup>2</sup> (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>



6

5



x

8

# **Scaling Violations**







low x: Gluon splitting enhances quark density  $\Rightarrow$  F<sub>2</sub> rises with Q<sup>2</sup>



high x: Gluon radiation shifts quark to lower x  $\Rightarrow$  F<sub>2</sub> falls with Q<sup>2</sup>

# **Parton-Model and PDFs**

 "Naive" parton model:
 Proton described by structure function F<sub>2</sub>

$$F_2(x) = \sum_i q_i^2 x f_i(x)$$

- Simple Model: three valence quarks  $\rightarrow F_2 = 1/3$
- Gluon-exchange
   between valence quarks
   → smearing
- Gluon-exachinge and Gluon-radiation  $\rightarrow$  sea quarks









# **QCD-Evolution of PDFs**



- PDFs depend on energy transfer:
  - concept: Parton content of the proton changes with energy transfer Q<sup>2</sup>, e.g. more sea-quarks adn gluons from radiation at high Q<sup>2</sup>



 Theoretical desription: Resumming of all collinear parton radiation
 → DGLAP-equation
 (renormalization group equation)



- Starting point: PDFs measurements at starting energy scale
  - non-perturbative process: not calculable ab initio
  - measurement in many processes, e. g. deep inelastic scattering, jet production (HERA, Tevatron, LHC, Fixed Target, Neutrinos)

# **QCD-Evolution of PDFs**



Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi-equation (DGLAP)



P<sub>ij</sub>: universal splitting function, e.g. in LO  $P_{gq} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1 + (1 - z)^2}{z}$ 

• Interpretation: get additional quarks with momentum fraction x at energy scale Q<sup>2</sup> by splitting  $q \rightarrow qg$  or  $g \rightarrow qq$  from larger x

# Kinematic (x, Q<sup>2</sup>)-Plane





KSETA Courses 2016

## PDFs







Gluon-density steeply with falling x
 ⇒ high cross sections for gluon induced processes at the LHC

Heavy quarks at high momentum transfer
 proton effectively "contains" quarks heavier than itself

# **Parton Shower**

- Fragmentation of partons:
  - partons can split into more partons ("parton splitting")  $\rightarrow$  parton shower
  - parton shower: probabilistic modell for fragmentation, aequivalent to resumming
- Described with Sudakov form factor
  - Probability for the splitting on a parton i in j: splitting function P<sub>ji</sub>
  - Solve DGLAP-equation for parton shower: Sudakov form factor

$$\Delta_i(t) = \exp\left[-\sum_j \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\mathrm{d}t'}{t'} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}y \, \frac{\alpha_S}{2\pi} \, P_{ji}(y)\right]$$

Interpretation: probability that no splitting occurs

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

# **Parton Shower Algorithms**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Sudakov picture of parton shower well suited for MC-simulation
- Basic algorithm: Markov-chain
  - $\rightarrow$  Each step only based on information from previous step
  - Start: Virtuality t<sub>1</sub>, momentum fraction of parton x<sub>1</sub>
  - Randomly generate new virtuality  $t_2$  with random number  $R_t \in [0,1]$  with

$$\frac{\Delta(t_2)}{\Delta(t_1)} = R_t$$

Randomly generate new momentum fraction  $x_2$  with  $R_x \in [0,1]$ 

$$\frac{\int_0^{x_2/x_1} \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \, P(z)}{\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}z \, \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \, P(z)} = R_x$$

- randomly generate azimuthal angle  $\Phi \in [0, 2\pi]$
- iterate until virtuality reaches threshold

# **Hadronisation Models**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Transition from partons to hadrons: not perturbative

   phaenomenologic models
- Monte-Carlo models quite successful
  - Complete final state predictions  $\rightarrow$  directly applicable to experiments
  - Disadvantage: many ad-hoc-parameters
    - $\rightarrow$  Requires optimization
    - $\rightarrow$  may hide actual physics effects
- Most common models
  - Independent fragmentation (historical)
  - Lund string model (Pythia)
  - Cluster model (Herwig, Sherpa)

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Independent Fragmentation

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Ansatz: each parton fragments independently (Field, Feynman, Nucl. Phys. B136 (1978) 1)
- Algorithm
  - Start: original quark
  - Quark-antiquark-pairs created from vacuum → primary Meson with energyfraction z
  - New starting point: remaining quark with energyfraction 1 – z
  - Stop: at a lowert energy-threshold
- Fragmentation-funktion D(z): Probability to find a Hadron with energy fraction z in a Jet (not perturbative, has to be measured)

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_10.jpeg)

# Lund String Model

- Ansatz: quark-antiquark-pairs form strings (Andersson et al., Lunds universitet, Phys. Rept 97 (1983) 31)
  - QCD potential: At large distances like a tensioned string

$$V(r) = -\frac{4}{3}\frac{\alpha_{S}(1/r^{2})}{r} + kr$$

- Quark-antiquark-pairs form strings
- Strings break, when V(r) large enough
   → new quark-antiquark-pairs
- Gluons: "kinks" in strings
- Create hadrons at a lower energy threshold
- Commonly used implementation: Pythia

[nach: Ellis et al., QCD and Collider Physics]

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **Cluster Model**

Ansatz: Colorflow during hadronization subject to confinement
 → form colorneutral clusters of partons

• original paper: Webber, Nucl. Phys. B238 (1984) 492

- Gluons (color + anticolor charge): split into quark-antiquark-Pairs
- Decay von clusters according to available phase-space
- Advantage: no free parameters
- Commonly used implementation: Herwig

![](_page_21_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Jet Algorithms**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### KSETA Courses 2016

# **Cone Algortihms**

Iterative cone algorithms: Jet = energy flow in cone of radius R in (y,φ)- or (η,φ)-space

 $R = \sqrt{(y-y_0)^2 + (\phi-\phi_0)^2}$ 

Algorithm: Find all stable cones
 Include in jet, if distance from center

$$\Delta_{iC} = \sqrt{(y_i - y_C)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_C)^2} \le R$$

Recompute centerIterate until cone is stable

Starting point ("seed")

- Fixed seeds (e.g. calorimeter cluster above threshold): not IR safe
- try all possible seeds
  - $\rightarrow$  gain IR safety
  - $\rightarrow$  can be numerically intensive

![](_page_23_Picture_13.jpeg)

jet cone in  $(y,\phi)$ -space

![](_page_23_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_17.jpeg)

#### Teilchenphysik II: W, Z, Top am Collider (4022161) – 4. Vorlesung

# **Sequential Recombination**

### Main class: kt-algorithms

- Define distance measure  $d_{ij}$  between transverse momenta  $k_t$  e  $d_{ij} = \min(k_{t,i}^{2n}, k_{t,j}^{2n}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}}{R}$
- Define distance to beam:  $d_{iB} = k_{t,i}^{2n}$
- Compute d<sub>ij</sub> for all pairs of particles
- Set found, if  $d_{iB}$  smallest  $d_{ij}$
- Otherwise: combine particles i and j
- Variants
  - **n** = 1:  $k_t$ -algorithm  $\rightarrow$  combine similar  $k_t$  first
  - n = 0: Cambridge/Aachen-(C/A-)algorithm ( $d_{iB} = 1$ ) → purely geometrical
  - n = −1: anti-k<sub>t</sub>-algorithm (LHC-Standard, ATLAS: R = 0.4, CMS: R = 0.4) → combine all low k<sub>t</sub> around "hard" particle first

![](_page_24_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### KSETA Courses 2016

# **Desireable Properties**

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### IR-safety:

soft gluon radiation has high probability  $\rightarrow$  shouldn't matter for jet

#### Collinear safety:

parton splitting probability divergent  $\rightarrow$  shouldn't matter for jet

#### Boost invariance:

at hadron colliders cms-frame not known

- $\rightarrow$  shouldn't matter for jet
- Compute Performance:

need to reconstruct jets in finite time

#### Shape regularity

how to subtract noise/pileu-up  $\rightarrow$  prefer regular shape, less greedy algo. (mostly a concern for hadron colliders)

![](_page_25_Figure_14.jpeg)

IR unsafe: Sensitive to the addition of soft particles

![](_page_25_Picture_16.jpeg)

Coll. unsafe: Sensitive to the splitting of a 4-vector (seeds!)

# **Jet Production**

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Challenges with Jets**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

Huge statistical precision: Dream or nightmare?

- Systematic effects are everywhere:
  - => Jet energy scale/resolution
  - => Jet energy corrections depend on parton type/flavor
  - => Pileup effects
  - => ...
- Theory uncertainties not negligible
   => QCD is hard to compute
   => PDFs not precisely known
   => Non-perturbative effects at low p<sub>T</sub>

# **Jet Energy Calibration**

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

■ Determine parton energy from "raw" detector measurement → calibration jet energy scale (JES)

- Calorimeter cells: equalize response, mask at high noise
- Calorimeter (whole): correct for different response to EM particles and hadrons ("compensation")
- Additional energy in the jet, e. g. pile-up
- Particles not caught by the jet algorithm ("out of cone")
- Differences in jet shapes for jets from gluons, udsc-quarks, b-Quarks

![](_page_28_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Theory Uncertainties**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Large theory uncertainties at high jet p<sub>T</sub>

- Large extrapolation from HERA data
- Large x gluon density not that well constrained

## **Turning the tables**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Measurement interpretation limited by theory uncertainties

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

Measurements constrain theory parameters

- => Parton densities (PDFs)
- => Strong coupling ( $\alpha_s$ )

Systematics are critical => some 7TeV studies still current α<sub>s</sub>: 3-jet mass

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

More jets in the final state => higher power of  $\alpha_s$ 

- Tricky theory calculation (NLO available)
- Correlated with PDFs => requires tuned PDF-sets

 $\alpha_s$ : Results

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Exotic Physics with Jets**

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

Why use jets with huge backgrounds?

- $\Rightarrow$  quark final state implies possible strong production
- $\Rightarrow$  huge cross sections
- $\Rightarrow$  if several decays are possible quarks can be common (5/6 flavors x 3 colors)
- $\Rightarrow$  can have large branching Ratios
- Typical things to look for:
  - Excited quarks (possible if quarks are composite) ⇒ decay to quark + gluon

Extended Gauge groups: Z', W'  $\Rightarrow$  high BR to quarks if extra bosons are similar to SM bosons

# **Dijet Resonance**

- Very high jet energies (TeV!)  $\Rightarrow$  "soft" gluon radition not so soft any more  $\Rightarrow$  R=0.4 jets not sufficient  $\Rightarrow$  add all other jets within R=1.1
- Fit with:
  - Smooth curve (SM hypothesis)
  - Smooth curve + bump (signal + background hypothesis)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **Contact Interactions**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

What if the resonance is too heavy

Analogy: Fermi theory of weak interaction

![](_page_35_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **Contact Interactions**

- Signal (contact interaction)  $\Rightarrow$  roughly isotropic
- biggest background: t-channel processes

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

low momentum transfer most likely:  $\Rightarrow$  jets mostly forward

Look at angular distribution to find signal

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$\chi = \exp(|\eta_1 - \eta_2|) = \frac{1 + |\cos(\hat{\theta})|}{1 - |\cos(\hat{\theta})|}$$

![](_page_36_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### KSETA Courses 2016

### **Black Holes**

- Black holes decay via Hawking radiation:  $\Rightarrow$  Black-Body spectrum with  $T_H = \frac{\overline{h}c^3}{8\pi GMk_B}$  $\Rightarrow \tau \sim 5.10^{-27} \text{s} \cdot \text{M}^3 \text{ (in g)}$  $\Rightarrow$  astronomical black holes essentially stable
- Microscopic black holes producible?
  - ⇒ unclear, requires theory of quantum gravity
  - $\Rightarrow$  usually expect lower limit on BH mass of 10<sup>-5</sup>g
  - ⇒ but could be lower for exotic scenarios (extra dimensions)

Virtual pairs of electrons and positrons continually appear and annihilate each other.

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

... leaving the other particle as a real particle.

![](_page_37_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Black Holes**

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)