

Particle Acceleration – Detection – Analysis


Roger Wolf

12. March 2018

INSTITUTE OF EXPERIMENTAL PARTICLE PHYSICS (IEKP) - PHYSICS FACULTY








beam

RF source




Betatron (1920's):




6MeV Betatron 1942 – 44 Siemens-Museum München


LHC, CERN 2010

Radius const. – accelerating field induced by increasing B-field.





drift




Radius const. – B-field increased synchronous w/ beam energy.

Synchrotron radiation




Advantage of circular structures: acceleration infrastructure can be recycled.

Disadvantage: need acceleration energy only to keep particles on track.



Radiation pattern of a dipole antenna.



Radiation pattern of a circular accelerated electron.

Energy radiated off per rotation cycle:

$$P = \frac{e^2}{6\pi\epsilon_0 c} |\vec{\beta}|^2 \gamma^4 = \frac{e^2 c}{6\pi\epsilon_0 R^2} \gamma^4 \quad (**)$$

$$= \frac{e^4}{6\pi\epsilon_0 R^2} \frac{E^2 B^2}{m^4}$$

$$P(p|_{m_p=1 \text{ GeV}}) \stackrel{\text{(*)}}{=} 280 \ \mu\text{W}$$

$$P(e|_{m_e=0.511~{
m MeV}}) \stackrel{\mbox{\tiny (*)}}{=} 450~{
m kW}$$

(*) using LHC parameters.

(**)

R: der Radius der Kreisbahn


Beam quality parameters



- Energy should be high, accurate and stable (→ chromaticity).
- Particle flux should be high (→ "bright source"):

Luminosity: ν : repetition frequency.

$$L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\nu N_1 N_2}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$
 $N_{1,2}$: number of projectiles in beam i .



- In experiment L correlated against quantities that can be easily monitored (→ hits in pixel, energy in low angle calorimeter)
- Most accurate value obtained from reference processes.

Particles must be kept on track to achieve and sustain highest luminosity.

Weak


focusing



- Projectiles enter acceleration chain with different opening angles.
- Restrict opening angle from beginning (→ collimators).

Weak focusing:

Two particles with small opening angle meet any half cycle.



Imagine opening angle of 1 mrad accelerator radius of R = 1000 m:

What maximal distance between the two particles do you expect?




Weak & strong focusing

- Projectiles enter acceleration chain with different opening angles.
- Restrict opening angle from beginning (→ collimators).

Weak focusing:


Two particles with small opening angle meet any half cycle.



Strong focusing:

Quadrupole field:

increasing linearly with x, z. Used for focussing.



Imagine opening angle of 1 mrad accelerator radius of R = 1000 m:

What maximal distance between the two particles do you expect?




of Experimental Particle Physics (IEKP)


Quadrupole focusing

Arrange system of "lenses" to achieve focusing in both planes:

$$f_{12} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{f_1} + \frac{1}{f_2} - \frac{d}{f_1 f_2}}$$

Calculate f_{12} for the simple example: $f_1 = -f_2 = 100 \text{ m}$ d = 50 m








Side-view: focussing

Quadrupole acts like an optical lens focusing in one plane, defocussing in the other.

Quadrupole field









Up-view: defocussing

Trajectory for traversing proton into plane



LHC beamline close to CMS

The Large Hadron Collider



- 8.3 T
- 11.8 kA
- 160 cyc

Construction costs: 4.1 billion \$


Construction time: 14 years

• Circumference : 27 km


No of dipoles : 1232

• Power : 120 MW

Luminosity(8TeV) : 8 nb⁻¹/sec



Eine Animation des LHC Beschleunigerkomplexes können Sie unter diesem link sehen



Energy density 500 kJ/m

Tension 200'000 t/m

Proton-Proton collision @ CMS

A single collision of two smashing protons may produce several thousand collision products. • We call this an (exciting) event. Overlay of 20 pp-collisions. We try to record it with a "100 Mpx" detector @ 40 MHz rate w/o deadtime.

Teilchennachweis...

... erfolgt durchWechselwirkung(WW) mit Detektormaterial:


 Ionisation des Detektormaterials

 Bremsstrahlung/Paarbildung in elektromagnetischen Feldern im Detektormaterial

 Kernwechselwirkungen mit dem Detektormaterial

Was wir wissen wollen:

Von jedem Teilchen (p_T ϕ η) Energie und Teilchenart



Teilchennachweis...

... erfolgt durch
Wechselwirkung
(WW) mit Detektormaterial:

- Ionisation des Detektormaterials
- Bremsstrahlung/Paarbildung in elektromagnetischen Feldern im Detektormaterial
- Kernwechselwirkungen mit dem Detektormaterial

Lokalisation der Ladungstrennung


Rekonstruktion der Teilchentrajektorie (**Spur**)

Sammlung aller frei gewordenen Ladungen

Rekonstruktion der **Energie** des Teilchens

Was wir wissen wollen:


Von jedem Teilchen (p_T ϕ η) Energie und Teilchenart




 $\gamma, e, \mu, n, p, \pi^{\pm}, K^{\pm}$

Particle energy loss in matter







Excitation (band theory):




Ionization (energy loss → Bethe-Bloch):






Each point on the left corresponds to the mean of a *Landau* distribution for the actual energy loss (above shown for a π^- in Si).

By the application of an external electric field charge carriers can be separated and electric signal obtained.




Tracking devices


Make detection devices small/granular enough to identify just where the particle passed the detector.



$$\left| \vec{E}(r) \right| = \frac{1}{r} \frac{U_0}{\ln(r_{\text{outer}}/r_{\text{inner}})}$$
 $\Delta U = -\frac{Ne}{C} \frac{\ln(r_0/r_{\text{inner}})}{\ln(r_{\text{outer}}/r_{\text{inner}})}$




Time evolution of signal build-up in a proportional chamber.



Collection of drift chamber types

42 mm



Drift time ~ position in z.


Calorimeters

 Stop particle in active device with good energy resolution.

Scintillator:

Use excitation of atoms → turned into scintillation light:

	$\rho \; [\mathrm{g/cm^3}]$	$\lambda_{max}[nm]$	decay time $[\mu s]$	N_{γ}/MeV
NaI	3.7	303	0.06	$8 \cdot 10^4$
CsI	4.5	565	1	$1.1 \cdot 10^{4}$
$PbWO_4$	8.3	420	0.006	$2 \cdot 10^2$




Important material parameters:

- Energy resolution.
- Linearity.
- Same response for all particle types (h/e, \rightarrow compensation).
- Stopping power (in X_0 or λ_i)
- Radiation hardness.
- Granularity in readout.

For better energy resolution choose homogeneous, for better stopping power use sampling calorimeters.


Ionization:

E.g. by ATLAS Pb-LAr sampling calorimeter: $\approx 100~e^{\prime} \mathrm{s~per~cm}$



Jet clustering

- At analysis level we are most of the time more interested in partonic structures than all hadrons in the event.
- Today sequential recombination jet cluster algorithms are state of the art, which recombine hadrons into jets according to their energy and distance in $\Delta R(y,\phi)$:




 k_t algorithm anti- k_t algorithm


G. Salam Towards Jetography


of Experimental Particle Physics (IEKP)


Jet calibration




- Response matches expected energy at parton level already within 10%.
- Correction and uncertainty at %-level.









Lepton identification

Lepton identification can be measured using "Tag & Probe" techniques.


Example: Lepton ID efficiency

$$Z \to \ell\ell, \, \ell = e, \, \mu$$

: well identified and ID'ed lepton & Z-mass

requirement.


Probe: inner/outer track, calo deposit.



What can be tested:

- inner/Outer track reconstruction efficiency,
- efficiency of ID or isolation requirements,
- track-cluster linking efficiency,
- cluster efficiency in calo,

Tag: everything that let's you think that you know the truth of the probe.





Probe: how often do we see a hit in

layer-x?

Control of background processes

Several flavors of estimation methods of contributions of background processes in signal regions.





Background for $H \to \gamma \gamma$ $m_{\gamma \gamma}$ (GeV)

Normalize background events in sideband region.

×10³

10

CMS


 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

19.7 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV) + 5.1 fb⁻¹ (7 TeV)

Sum over all classes

Each of these estimates requires a (more or less sophisticated/robust/physics motivated) model.

Remaining lecture program



Backup




What is a particle accelerator?

M. S. Livingston (1905 – 1986):

A particle accelerator is a machine that uses electromagnetic fields to propel charged particles to nearly light speed and to contain them in well-defined beams.

- Colliding beams are our laboratory.
- Reach out to highest energies (→ resolve smallest structures, Heisenberg uncertainty principle).
- Provide as many collisions per second as possible (→ observe rarest events).



Livingston plot

Cross section:

 N_{obs} : N observed reactions.

 N_{BG} : N expected BG reactions.

$$\sigma = \frac{N_{obs} - N_{BG}}{\phi \cdot \epsilon \cdot A} \frac{1}{T} \quad \epsilon$$
 : detection efficiency.

A: detector acceptance.

T: observation time.

Lecture-1: Introduction to Particle Physics (slide 10)

Accelerating power



- Acceleration happens via UHF in Klystrons:
 - Acceleration of electrons (1).
 - Density modulations in electron beam implied by external field (2).
 - Due to these modulations electromagnetic wave travels through first cavity (3).
 - Exit hole at end of cavity. The passing wave induces resonant wave in the surface of hole which damps electron beam and couples energy out to second cavity (4).

Such cavities have to stand 50 - 80 MeV/m without discharges.

- (1) source
- (2) first cavity
- (3) UHF created by electron bunches
- (4) exit to second cavity
- (5) electron beam dump





mental Particle Physics (IEKP)

Phase focusing

 Energy focusing achieved by proper choice of phase of accelerating wave:









- energy lower → more acceleration
- energy exact → nominal acceleration
- energy higher → less acceleration

 This kind of acceleration leads to bunching of projectiles.



Silicon detectors




neutral region neutra

Reminder: pn-junction.


Layout of a typical Si-strip detector.

Impressions of the CMS detector.



Neutral particles






Neutral hadrons:

turned into charge carriers by nuclear interactions (depends on energy of hadron).


arge Scale Solution (A Magnet field (solenoid): 2.6 T (inside calorimeter) Magnet field (toroid): ~4 T (outside calorimeter) Tracker: Si/multi-wire chambers ECAL/HCAL: LAr (varying granularity) Magnet Field: 10-1 10⁻¹ Length : 45 m 10^{.3} 10-3 Diameter: 22 m Weight : 7'000 t



Deadtime free readout

 Achieve deadtime free readout by sophisticated data acquisition.


Layered trigger system:



- Requirements (e.g. CMS):
- ~100 million detector cells.
- 40 MHz event rate.
- 10 12 bits/cell.
 - → ~1000 TByte/s raw data (most of this data is not of interest).

- App. high p_T electron.
- App. high p_T muon
- Decisions within $\mathcal{O}(ns)$.
- Regional readout of tracker and CALO e.g. to check isolation.
- Decisions within $\mathcal{O}(\mu s)$.
- Nearly full event reconstruction.
- Decisions within $\mathcal{O}(ms)$.

Each decision buys the system more time to take a closer look



Keep all detector information till trigger decision is reached.

Detector granularity available for trigger readout.

- $\mathcal{O}(10)$ L1-keep decisions.
- $\mathcal{O}(100)$ HLT trigger bits.

HLT paths with too high rate can be prescaled (prescale= $2 \rightarrow$ only any second event recorded).

Key demands on the experiment




Vertex Momentum identification: determination:

$$\vec{p} = e \cdot \vec{r} imes \vec{B} \quad \frac{\delta p}{p} = \frac{\delta B}{erB} \oplus \frac{\delta r}{erB}$$


Energy determination:


- Energy resolution
- Stopping power




High level object reconstruction

 Combine all energy deposits in detector to a unique event description (→ stable particle level).










 Unambiguous list of stable particles: muons, electrons, photons, charged & neutral hadrons.

High level object reconstruction

 Combine all energy deposits in detector to a unique event description (→ stable particle level).








 Unambiguous list of stable particles: muons, electrons, photons, charged & neutral hadrons.

Particle flow of the future






Prerequisites:


- Excellent separation of neutral & charged hadrons ($\rightarrow \vec{B} \cdot R_{calo}$).
- Minimal material in front of CALO.
- High granularity CALO.


Missing energy

- In the initial state have $p_x = p_y = 0$. Must be true also for final state due to momentum conservation.
- Mis-balance of $\sum_{\mathrm{part}} \vec{p}_T$ indicates presence of undetected energy (\rightarrow MET).



$$ext{MET} = |-\sum_{ ext{part}} ec{p}_T|$$





Physics (IEKP)

More sophisticated methods

Estimate of $Z \to \tau \tau$ background for $H \to \tau \tau$.

